

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

AUG 9 2001

		7 4001
KENNETH ALAN SHIFFER, Petitioner,)	MARY E. DANBREA CLERK
·)	C.A. NO. 1:CV-00-1829 Leputy Clerk
VS.)	HABEAS CORPUS ACTION
)	VIA STATE PRISONER
SUPERINTENDENT BEN VARNER)	28 U.S.C. §2254
THE PA. ATTORNEY GENERAL, AND)	
THE COLUMBIA COUNTY OFFICE OF)	
THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY,)	(WILLIAM W. CALDWELL, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE)
Respondents.)	

RESPONDENT, DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY OF COLUMBIA'S ANSWER TO PETITIONER, KENNETH ALAN SHIFFER'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Respondent, John W. McDanel, Columbia County District Attorney, files the following Answer to the Petitioner's Petition.

- 1. (a) Admitted.
 - (b) Admitted.
 - (c) Admitted.
- 2. (a) Admitted.
 - (b) Admitted.
- 3. Admitted.
- 4. Admitted.
- 5. Admitted.
- 6. Admitted.

	7.	Denied.	It is denied that the Petitioner testified at the time of Trial. And to
the contrary,	the fact	that the P	etitioner did not testify at the time of the Trial is one of the alleged
habeas corpus	s ground	ls set fort	h by the Petitioner.

- 8. Admitted.
- 9. (a) Admitted.
 - (b) Admitted.
 - (c) Admitted.
- 10. Admitted.
- 11. (a) (1) Admitted.
 - (2) Admitted.
 - (3) It is admitted that said grounds were raised.
 - (4) Admitted.
 - (5) Admitted.
 - (6) Admitted.
 - (b) (1) No response required.
 - (2) No response required.
 - (3) No response required.
 - (4) No response required.
 - (5) No response required.
 - (6) No response required.
 - (c) (1) No response required.

- (2) No response required.
- (3) No response required.
- (4) No response required.
- (5) No response required.
- (6) No response required.
- (d) (1) Admitted.
 - (2) Admitted.
 - (3) No response required.
- (e) No response required.
- 12. (a) Denied. It is denied that the Suppression Court erred in not suppressing the eyewitness identification.
- (b) Denied. It is denied that the evidence presented was insufficient to sustain a verdict.
- (c) Denied. It is denied that counsel was ineffective for failing to permit the Petitioner to testify.
- (d) Denied. It is denied that counsel was ineffective for failing to object to the charge of reasonable doubt to the jury.
- (e) Denied. It is denied that Trial counsel was ineffective for withdrawing a Pre-Trial Motion for a change of venue or venire.
- (f) Denied. It is denied that counsel was ineffective for failing to impeach a police officer about the money in the possession of the victim.

- No response required. 13.
- 14. Admitted.
- (a) Admitted. 15.
 - (b) Admitted.
 - Admitted. (c)
 - (d) Admitted.
 - Admitted. (e)
 - Admitted. (f)
 - Admitted. (g)
- 16. Admitted.
- 17. Admitted.
 - (a) No response required.
 - No response required. (b)
 - (c) No response required.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN W. McDANEL, ESQUIRE COLUMBIA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

D. #50867